

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/700,611	BLOEMER ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tara L. Mayo	3671	

All Participants:

(1) Tara L. Mayo.

(2) David W. Dorton.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2005

Status of Application: After Final Rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:30 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential rejection of claims 24 through 28 under 35 USC §102 and/or 103

Claims discussed:

24-28

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to the changes on the attached Examiner's amendment to preclude a requisite search in class 601 for the broader claimed invention of claims 24 through 28.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____